

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 6417 of 1991

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE R.K.ABICHANDANI

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : YES
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

KUTCHH DISTIRCT PANCHAYAT

Versus

KANTILAL P KANABAR

Appearance:

MR HS MUNSHAW for Petitioner
MS VINITA S. VINAYAK for MR PM THAKKAR
for Respondent No. 1
NOTICE SERVED for Respondent No. 2, 3

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE R.K.ABICHANDANI

Date of decision: 29/09/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

When this petition is called out for final hearing, the learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner

Panchayat states that the respondent No.1 who was promoted as a Deputy Chitnis on 22.11.1995 in regular course has been retired from service on 30.9.1997 with retiral benefits and therefore, nothing survives in this petition. It is also pointed out that when rule was issued on the petition on 13.12.1991, the Court had permitted the Panchayat to act as per the seniority list prepared after the Division Bench judgement of this Court, which is at Annexure "D" to the petition (Special Civil Application No. 294/78 and other cognate matters decided on 11.8.1983). In this view of the matter, the petition is disposed of as having become infructuous. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs.

*/Mohandas